TO:- Cabinet

Councillor Roger Lees BEM ,Councillor Victoria Wilson ,Councillor Rita Heseltine ,Councillor Kath Perry MBE ,Councillor Robert Reade ,Councillor David Williams ,

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Cabinet will be held as detailed below for the purpose of transacting the business set out below.

Date: Tuesday, 16 April 2024 Time: 14:00 Venue: Council Chamber, Community Hub, Wolverhampton Road, Codsall, South Staffordshire, WV8 1PX

<u> lleytico</u>]

D. Heywood Chief Executive

AGENDA

Part I – Public Session

1	Minutes	3 - 4
2	To approve the Minutes of the meeting of Cabinet held on 2 April 2024. Apologies To receive any apologies for non-attendance.	
3	Declarations of Interest To receive any declarations of interest.	
4	Allocation of Rural England Prosperity Funding Report of the Assistant Director Enterprise and Growth	5 - 12

<u>RECORDING</u> Please note that this meeting will be recorded.

PUBLIC ACCESS TO AGENDA AND REPORTS

Spare paper copies of committee agenda and reports are no longer available. Therefore should any member of the public wish to view the agenda or report(s) for this meeting, please go to www.sstaffs.gov.uk/council-democracy.

Minutes of the meeting of the **Cabinet** South Staffordshire Council held in the Council Chamber Community Hub, Wolverhampton Road, Codsall, South Staffordshire, WV8 1PX on Tuesday, 02 April 2024 at 14:00

Present:-

Councillor Rita Heseltine, Councillor Roger Lees, Councillor Kath Perry, Councillor Robert Reade, Councillor David Williams, Councillor Victoria Wilson

35 <u>MINUTES</u>

RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the meeting of Cabinet held on 5 March 2024 be approved and signed by the Chairman.

36 <u>APOLOGIES</u>

There were no apologies for non-attendance.

37 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

38 <u>NEW LOCAL PLAN: AGREEING THE NEW PUBLICATION PLAN FOR</u> CONSULTATION AND SUBMISSION

RESOLVED:

That Cabinet recommend to Council:

1. That the Publication Plan (Appendix A) be subject to public consultation and following consultation be submitted to the Secretary of State.

2. The Strategic Planning Team Manager, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Business Enterprise and Community Infrastructure, has delegated authority, prior to consultation, to make any minor changes to the text, format and appearance of the documents or the background documents in the interests of clarity and accuracy if considered necessary.

3. The Strategic Planning Team Manager, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Business Enterprise and Community Infrastructure, has delegated authority following Regulation 19 consultation to submit the Local Plan to the Secretary of State for Examination, and propose minor modifications to the plan to the Secretary of State prior to submission; noting that any main modification changes arising from this Regulation 19 consultation would necessitate a new Regulation 19 consultation.

LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

SOUTH STAFFORDSHIRE COUNCIL

CABINET – 16 APRIL 2024

ALLOCATION OF RURAL ENGLAND PROSPERITY FUNDING

REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR ENTERPRISE AND GROWTH

LEAD CABINET MEMBER – COUNCILLOR VICTORIA WILSON, CABINET MEMBER FOR BUSINESS ENTERPRISE AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

PART A – SUMMARY REPORT

1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

1.1 The report will seek formal approval from the Cabinet to allocate Rural England Prosperity Funding (REPF) resources to the project set out in appendix A.

2. SUMMARY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

POLICY/COMMUNITY IMPACT	Do these proposals contribute to specific Council Plan objectives?YesProsperous and Vibrant CommunitiesHas an Equality Impact Assessment (Equal) been completed?Each bid goes through a formal Panel led evaluation process and equalities implications are considered as part of that process.No		
	Has a Data Protection Impact Assessment been completed?		
	No	N/A	
SCRUTINY POWERS APPLICABLE	Yes	The Constitution and associated protocol provides that front line Councillors, the Monitoring Officer and the Section 151 Officer will have five clear working days following dispatch of a notification of a proposed decision in which to call in for scrutiny, decisions proposed by the Cabinet or its members. In accordance with the provisions of the Constitution and associated protocol, any two or more members wishing to request that this proposed decision should be called in for scrutiny should do so by giving notice to the Corporate Director of Governance either by e-mail or in writing before the end of the fifth day specifying the reason or reasons therefore. The Corporate	

	1			
		Director of Governance will then call in the proposed decision and arrange for it to be		
	considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. A copy of such notice must also be			
		sent to me either by email or in writing by the end		
		of the fifth day. This proposed decision will be		
		confirmed and implemented or, where		
		appropriate, referred to the Council for		
		consideration at its next meeting, on or after the		
	date to be notified upon circulation of the minu			
	of the meeting of the Cabinet, unless called in for			
	scrutiny by that date.			
KEY DECISION	No - This report deals with the allocation of grant funding			
	(outside of the approved budget) as opposed to the incurring			
	of expenditure or the making of savings over the financial			
	threshold	hold for a Key Decision.		
TARGET COMPLETION/	REPF fund	ing ends in March 2025		
DELIVERY DATE				
		There is no financial impact on the Authority's		
		approved budget and MTFS. This is a fully grant		
		funded project with new resources received to be		
		applied as detailed in the report. This report		
FINANCIAL IMPACT	Yes	ensures that use of these funds follows the strict		
		conditions set out by DLUHC to avoid any		
		possibility of spending occurring outside the remit		
		of conditions set.		
		The governance for the REPF grant funding		
		streams is in accordance with government		
		requirements and as set by Cabinet on 7 March		
LEGAL ISSUES	Yes	2023. Reporting to Overview and Scrutiny		
		Committee forms part of that governance		
		structure.		
		The delivery of our UKSPF and REPF is included in		
		the corporate risk register. One of the key risks		
		identified is to ensure that we're able to distribute		
		the funding fairly and transparently against a set		
		of funding criteria that is clearly set out and		
STRATEGIC RISK	Yes	publicly available. The approved governance		
		structure provides the mechanism to deliver that.		
		Another key risk with the programme is to ensure		
		we spend the allocation by March 2025, this		
		report again provides the mechanism to allocate		
	and spend our allocation.			
OTHER IMPACTS, RISKS &	The REPF provides an excellent opportunity to improve the			
OPPORTUNITIES	prosperity of our businesses and the infrastructure of our			
including climate impacts	communities. The nature of the funding also enables us to			
and health impacts if reduce the impacts of climate change and improve				
applicable	environme	ent. The report and appendix outline the specific		

	opportunities the funding brings to the businesses and community groups South Staffordshire.	
IMPACT ON SPECIFIC WARDS	Yes	Lapley, Stretton and Wheaton Aston

PART B – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

3. INFORMATION

- 3.1 UKSPF is a central pillar of the UK Government's levelling up agenda and a significant component of its support for places across the UK. It provides £2.6 billion of new funding for local investment by March 2025, with all areas of the UK receiving an allocation from the fund via a funding formula rather than a competition. This recognises that even the most affluent parts of the UK contain pockets of deprivation and need support.
- 3.2 Within the context of the Fund's objectives, each place has the flexibility to invest across a range of activities that represent the right solutions to improve local pride in place, help spread and create opportunity, and a sense of community and belonging. Places were able to choose from investment in three investment priorities of communities and place, local business and people and skills. In addition, there are 41 interventions across the three investment priorities, each with their expected outputs and outcomes. We did not have to target all 41 interventions but they formed a menu from which we could chose whichever ones best met our local priorities.
- 3.3 Late in 2022 the Government also announced an associated funding stream to UKSPF, the REPF, which was only available to certain prescribed rural areas. The funding is a capital grants programme for small businesses and community infrastructure, and we were allocated an additional £489k up to March 2025. Again, this was subject to completing and having approval for an addendum to our UKSPF Investment Plan which was approved in April 2023.
- 3.4 The funding is for new, small, registered, organisations and businesses with a postcode or a project site in a South Staffordshire rural area (under the government criteria not all postcodes are eligible).
- 3.5 The Rural Fund objectives sit within the UKSPF investment priorities for:
 - Supporting Local Business
 - Community and Place

- 3.6 The Rural Fund provides capital funding to:
 - support new and existing rural businesses to develop new products and facilities that will be of wider benefit to the local economy. This includes farm businesses looking to diversify income streams.
 - support new and improved community infrastructure, providing essential community services and assets for local people and businesses to benefit the local economy.

This funding should not replace funding plans for rural areas under the UKSPF and is a top-up to help address the extra needs and challenges facing rural areas.

- 3.7 The government have provided a list of interventions, objectives, outputs, and outcomes. Most are the same as UKSPF interventions but there are 2 extra interventions providing funding for:
 - small scale investment in micro and small enterprises in rural areas
 - rural circular economy projects

As with the UKSPF, investments should demonstrate value for money and additionality, and how investments contribute to net zero and nature recovery objectives.

- 3.8 The Council opened up REPF for bids in July 2023 and since then we have received a number of expressions of interest, and where eligible, applicants have been invited to submit a full application for funding. These applications have then been assessed against the funding criteria by a panel consisting of both internal colleagues and external organisations, which include the Federation of Small Businesses, National Farmers Union and Support Staffordshire.
- 3.9 All full bids submitted are evaluated against how the project meets the priorities of the UKSPF interventions, value for money, the need for the project, the financial health and projections of the applicant, and the delivery and sustainability of the project.
- 3.10 In March 2023 Members approved a governance structure for UKSPF and REPF that required ultimate sign off of bids by Cabinet. Prior to being brought to Cabinet for approval, the bid was evaluated and scored by the above-mentioned Panel and reported to the Corporate Leadership Team, who concurred with the recommendations of the panel for the bid.
- 3.11 Appendix A sets out the basic details of the latest bid for REPF, it is recommended for approval. In short, the bid is:
 - **Platinum Jubilee Allotments, Wheaton Aston**, (community bid) Parish Council bid for the creation of new allotments in the village. Total bid £31,798 and recommended for approval.

4. IMPACT ASSESSMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- 4.1 The impact of the bid has been considered as part of the application, evaluation and assessment exercise.
- 4.2 The applicant will be expected to sign a formal funding agreement setting out the terms and conditions for receiving the funding prior to the transfer of any grant funds.
- 4.3 Under the terms of the funding agreements, the applicant will be expected to report back their outcomes and outputs on a quarterly basis. This will enable us to report progress to both Members and to the Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, which is required under the terms of our funding agreement with them.

5. PREVIOUS MINUTES

- 5.1 N/A
- 6. BACKGROUND PAPERS
- 6.1 N/A
- 7. APPENDICES
- 7.1 Appendix A Recommended REPF Applications April 2024

8. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

8.1 Cabinet approves the allocation of REPF to Lapley, Stretton and Wheaton Aston Parish Council as set out in 3.11 and appendix A.

Report prepared by: Grant Mitchell, Assistant Director Enterprise and Growth

Rural England Prosperity Fund, Panel Evaluated and Approved Applications for Funding Agreement

£70,000 maximum funding request or 60% of project cost allowable for businesses and 70% of project cost for not for profit organisations.

Business/Organisation	Project Location/Locality Area	Project Description for REPF/Supported by	Request=% of Project Cost - Condition/s
Lapley, Stretton and	Broadholes Lane Platinum	Change a natural play area to allotments	£31,798.00 =64.91% of £48,987.03
Wheaton Aston	Jubilee Allotments	compromising of 12 plots and 8 raised beds to	Planning and land lease with County in place.
Parish Council	Wheaton Aston	suit wheelchair users and the less able. The	no job creation
	ST19 9NR	ground has been made ready, trees removed	Funding for creation of and improvements to
		and transplanted in suitable areas, £1k was	local rural green spaces.
	Locality 2	received from the Community Foundation	Output – Area of green or blue space completed
		towards the landscape felling. This request	or improved.
		includes, grass pathways between plots, a	
		tarmacked area for parking including a	
		disabled area. Match for this project is partially	
		from section 106. County also awarded £1k for	
		water butts and compost bins. A water trough	
		and a biodiverse area will be created with wild	
		flowers, fruit trees and natural habitat for	
		bees, insects and butterflies, both funded	
		separately. £9,995 has been received from	
		National Lottery funding to drop the vehicle	
		access point, install water provision and pipes	
		and connect to a water supply. A non profit	
		making project, only costs asked of users.	
		Supported via community consultation results	